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SOLUTIONS, WITH A DEGENERATE HODOGRAPH, OF QUASISTEADY EQUATIONS OF
THE THEORY OF PLASTICITY WITH THE VON MISES YIELD CONDITION
S. V. Meleshko

UDC 539.2

Simple waves are often used from solutions with a degenerate hodograph in the theory of plasticity when the system of equations which describes plastic flow is hyperbolic and has two independent variables. There are only isolated instances of the construction of such solutions in a plastic body when the number of independent variables is greater than two. In this study, we present a complete classification of double waves in the case of a plasticrigid body described by quasisteady equations characterized by functional arbitrariness

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial \sigma / \partial x_{i}+\partial S_{i \alpha} / \partial x_{\alpha}=0  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}=0  \tag{2}\\
\partial v_{i} / \partial x_{i}+\partial v_{j} / \partial x_{i}=2 \Psi S_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the von Mises yield criterion

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha \beta} S_{\alpha \beta}=2 k^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\left(S_{i j}\right)$ is the deviator of the stress tensor $\left(S_{\alpha \alpha}=0\right) ; \mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)^{\prime}$ is the vector of the rate of displacement; $\sigma$ is the normal stress; $k$ is the yield point in shear; $\Psi$ is the proportionality factor in the associated flow law; summation is performed from 1 to 3 over the repeating Greek-letter indices. Without loss of generality, we take $S_{I} \neq 0$ ( $S_{i} \equiv S_{i i}$, $i=1,2,3, S_{3}=-S_{1}-S_{2}$ ).

Equations (3) are inhomogeneous. Since $S_{I} \neq 0$, from (3) at $i=j=1$ we find $\Psi=\frac{1}{S_{1}} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}$. After we exclude $\Psi$ from the remaining equations of (3), we obtain a closed homogeneous system of nine quasilinear differential equations relative to nine unknowns: (1), (2), (4), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}\left(\partial v_{i} / \partial x_{j}+\partial v_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-2 S_{i j} \partial v_{1} / \partial x_{1}=0 \quad(i, j=1,2,3) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Novosibirsk. Translated from Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, No. 1, pp. 82-88, January-February, 1991. Original article submitted August 14, 1989.

For solutions of system (1)-(2), (4)-(5) of the double-wave type, characterized by functional arbitrariness in the general solution of the Cauchy problem, there are only two possibilities: either functions $v_{1}, v_{2}$ such that the Jacobian $\partial\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$, or $v_{i}=$ $v_{i}\left(v_{1}\right)(i=2,3)$.

1. Let the Jacobian $\partial\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$. In this case, the functions $v_{1}$, $v_{2}$ are chosen as the parameters of the double wave and all of the remaining parameters $\left(0, S_{i, j}\right.$, $v_{3}$ ) are chosen through them:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{3}=v_{3}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \sigma=\sigma\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), S_{i j}=S_{i j}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \quad(i, j=1,2,3) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of these expressions into (1)-(5) gives us a homogeneous system of quasilinear first-order differential equations $G f=0$ with the matrix $G$, column vector $f=\left(v_{1}, 3, v_{2}, 3\right.$, $\left.v_{1,1}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,2}\right)^{\prime}$, and notation $v_{i, j}=\partial v_{i} / \partial x_{j}, v_{3, i}=\partial v_{3} / \partial v_{i}, \sigma_{i}=\partial \sigma / \partial v_{i}, S_{k j, i}=$ $\partial S_{k j} / \partial v_{i}, v_{3}, i n=\partial^{2} v_{3} /\left(\partial v_{i} \partial v_{n}\right)(i, n=1,2 ; k, j=1,2,3)$.

To ensure that there is no reduction to invariant solutions [1], it is necessary to require satisfaction of the inequality rank $G \leq 4$. Since $S_{1} \neq 0$, it follows from the form of the matrix $G$ that rank $G \geq 4$. Thus, for double waves that are not reducible to invariant solutions, the condition rank $G=4$ is satisfied.

If we use $a_{i j}$ to represent the determinant of a fifth-order matrix composed of elements of the matrix $G$ standing at the intersection of the first four rows and the i-th row with the first four columns and the $j$-th column, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}=0,5 \leqslant i \leqslant 8, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the four independent equations of the system $G \mathbf{f}=0$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{2}=G_{2} \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{1}  \tag{8}\\
& \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{3}=G_{1} \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{1}, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} & =\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{\prime} ; \xi \equiv\left(2 S_{23}-2 S_{12} v_{3,1}-S_{2} v_{3,2}\right) / S_{1} ; \\
G_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{lr}
2 S_{12} / S_{1} & -1 \\
S_{2} / S_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad G_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 S_{13} / S_{1}-v_{3,1}-1 \\
\xi & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Certain equations $a_{i j}=0$ give the relations for finding the function $\sigma=\sigma\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{1}=-S_{23,1} v_{3,2}+S_{23,2} v_{3,1}+S_{12,2}-S_{2,1} \\
\sigma_{2}=S_{13,1} v_{3,2}-S_{13,2} v_{3,1}+S_{12,1}-S_{1,2} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

After exclusion of the second derivatives $\partial^{2} \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}(i, j=1,2,3$, in addition to $i=j=$ 1), $\left(\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}-\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}\right) \partial^{2} \mathbf{u} / \partial \mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{2}=\Phi\left(\mathbf{u}, \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{1}\right)$ remains in extended system (8)-(9). This means that the maximum possible arbitrariness in the solution of system (8)-(9) with assigned functions (6) is determined by the number $2-r\left(r \equiv \operatorname{rank}\left(G_{1} G_{2}-G_{2} G_{1}\right)\right)$. Thus, it is necessary that $r \leq 1$ in order for an ideal plastic-rigid body to contain double waves having functional arbitrariness in the Cauchy solution and being perpendicular to the invariant solutions.

We will henceforth write the expression for the matrix

$$
G_{1} G_{2}-G_{2} G_{1}=\frac{2}{S_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{cr}
Z_{1} & Z_{2} \\
\left(S_{2} Z_{2}+S_{12} Z_{1}\right) / S_{1} & -Z_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $Z_{1}=S_{23}-S_{12} v_{3,1}-S_{2} v_{3,2} ; Z_{2}=-S_{13}+S_{1} v_{3,1}+S_{12} v_{3,2}$.
Let us examine the cases $r=0$ and $r=1$ in succession.
1.1. Let $\mathrm{r}=0$. Then $\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}-\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}=0$ and, thus, $\mathrm{Z}_{1}=0, \mathrm{Z}_{2}=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{23}=S_{12} v_{3,1}+S_{2} v_{3,2}, S_{13}=S_{1} v_{3,1}+S_{12} v_{3,2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we completely differentiate $D_{3}$ of Eq. (8) with respect to $x_{3}$, subtract from the result Eq. (9) after $D_{2}$ has been completely differentiated with respect to $x_{2}$, and substitute the derivatives $\partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{2}, \partial \mathbf{u} / \partial x_{3}$ from (8) and (9), then with allowance for (11) we obtain two homogeneous invariant forms relative to the first derivatives $\partial u / \partial x_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(S_{12} v_{3,22}+S_{1} v_{3,12}\right)=0, g\left(S_{1} v_{3,11}-S_{2} v_{3,22}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $g \equiv S_{2}\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2}-2 S_{12} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}+S_{1}\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2}$.
It follows from the prohibition on the reduction to an invariant solution that $g \neq 0$. However, we then find the following from (12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{3,12}=-S_{12} v_{3,22} / S_{1}, v_{3,11}=S_{2} v_{3,22} / S_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v_{3,22}=0$, then $v_{3}=c_{1} v_{1}+c_{2} v_{2}+c_{3}\left(c_{i}=\right.$ const). By rotating coordinate axes and shifting, such a solution is reduced to plane deformation. Thus, $v_{3,22} \neq 0$.

Satisfaction of (13) ensures identical satisfaction of (12), the latter being necessary and sufficient conditions to ensure that redefined system (8)-(9) is involute. It should also be noted that the double wave in this case will be a solution with rectilinear generators.

It remains for us to analyze the compatability of Eqs. (4), (7), (11), and (13): Due to the cumbersome nature of these calculations, they were performed on a computer [5]. AlI of the components of the stress tensor are determined through the function $v_{3}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and its second-order derivatives; of Eqs. (7), only (10) will be independent, and all the remaining equations are satisfied identically ( $a_{k \ell} \equiv 0,5 \leq k \leq 8$; we obtain a redefined system of two differential equations for the function $v_{3}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ : one second-order equation and one fourth-order equation. These equations are used to derive explicit expressions for all fifth derivatives of $v_{3}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ with respect to the variables $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Thus, $v_{3}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is found with a constant arbitrariness. We could not further analyze the compatibility of the given system, due to the volume of computation required and the limited computer memory available. The system is compatible, and one of its solutions, with arbitrariness in the form of two constants, was presented in [2]. The system apparently has no other solutions except those in [2].
1.2. Let $r=1$. Then rank $G=1$. This corresponds to satisfaction of the relations $\left(a \equiv h v_{3,2}-v_{3,1}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{1}^{2}+Z_{2}^{2} \neq 0  \tag{14}\\
S_{23}+h S_{13}=-\left(S_{1} h+S_{12}\right) a \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
S_{12}^{2}-S_{1} S_{2} \geqslant 0 ; h=\left(-S_{12} \pm\left(S_{12}^{2}-S_{1} S_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) / S_{1}
$$

Since $\partial\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$, we make a transition to new independent variables ( $v_{1}$, $v_{2}, x_{3}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, x_{3}\right), x_{2}=Q\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, x_{3}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, system (8)-(9) for the function $v_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)(i=1,2)$ changes into a system of differential equations for the function $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, x_{3}\right), Q\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. After certain algebraic transformations, the new system reduces to the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1} P_{1}-S_{2} Q_{2}=0, S_{1} P_{2}+S_{1} Q_{1}+2 S_{12} Q_{2}=0 \\
S_{1}\left(Q_{3}+v_{3,2}\right) Q_{1}+\left(2 S_{12}\left(Q_{3}+v_{3,2}\right)+S_{1}\left(P_{3}+v_{3,1}\right)-2 Z_{2}\right) Q_{2}=0  \tag{17}\\
S_{1}\left(P_{3}+v_{3,1}\right) Q_{1}-\left(S_{2}\left(Q_{3}+v_{3,2}\right)+2 Z_{1}\right) Q_{2}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $P_{i}=\partial P / \partial v_{i}, Q_{i}=\partial Q / \partial v_{i}(i=1,2) ; P_{3}=\partial P / \partial x_{3} ; Q_{3}=\partial Q / \partial x_{3} ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} Q_{2}-P_{2} Q_{1} \neq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (17) is linear and homogeneous relative to $P_{i}, Q_{i}(i=1,2)$. By virtue of inequality (18), its determinant must vanish, i.e., ( $\gamma= \pm 1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}\left(P_{3}+v_{3,1}\right)=Z_{2}-S_{12}\left(Q_{3}+v_{3,2}\right)+\gamma\left(\left(S_{1} h+S_{12}\right)\left(Q_{3}+v_{3,2}\right)-Z_{2}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then examine two variants $\gamma=-1$ and $\gamma=+1$.
A contradiction to condition (14) arises at $\gamma=-1$.
Let $\gamma=+1$. Then after integration of (19) with respect to $x_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=h Q+x_{3} a+\chi \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi=\chi\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is an arbitrary function; $a_{i} \equiv \partial a / \partial v_{i} ; \chi_{i}=\partial \chi / \partial v_{i} ; h_{i} \equiv \partial h / \partial v_{i} ; i=1$, 2.

Insertion of (20) into (17) with allowance for (15) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q\left(h_{1}-h h_{2}\right)+x_{3}\left(a_{1}-h a_{2}\right)+\chi_{1}-h \chi_{2}=0  \tag{21}\\
S_{1} Q_{1}+\left(h S_{1}+S_{12}\right) Q_{2}=-\psi  \tag{22}\\
Q_{2}\left(2 S_{13}-S_{1} v_{3,1}-v_{3,2}\left(h S_{1}+S_{12}\right)\right)-\psi Q_{3}=\psi v_{3,2}  \tag{23}\\
\left(2 Q_{2}\left(h S_{1}+S_{12}\right)+\psi\right) a=0\left(\psi \equiv S_{1}\left(h_{2} Q+x_{3} a_{2}+\chi_{2}\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will henceforth study two cases of system (21)-(24): $a \neq 0$ and $a=0$.
If $a \neq 0$ and $h S_{I}+S_{12} \neq 0$, then it follows from (22) and (24) that $Q_{I}=h Q_{2}$. However, then a contradiction to condition (18) follows from (21) and (20). Thus, if $a \neq 0$, then $h S_{1}+S_{12}=0$. This means that $\psi=0$. Thus, as in the preceding case, we obtain the contradiction (18).

As a consequence, $a=0$. In accordance with (15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{23}=-h S_{13} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

while from (7) and the definition of $h$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{2}=-S_{1}  \tag{26}\\
S_{12}=S_{1}\left(1-h^{2}\right) /(2 h), h \neq 0 \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Having inserted (25)-(27) into the von Mises condition (3), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{2}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{2}+4 h^{2}\left(1+h^{2}\right) S_{13}^{2}=4 h^{2} k^{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

With allowance for (25)-(28), Eqs. (7) converge only to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2} S_{1,1}+h S_{1,2}+S_{1} h_{2}\left(h^{2}-1\right)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Q_{3} \neq 0$, then we find from (21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}=h h_{2}, \chi_{1}=h \chi_{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h_{2}=0$, then $h=$ const. Analysis of the remaining two equations of (10) leads only to the case when $v_{3}=c_{1}\left(v_{2}+h v_{1}\right)+c_{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}=\right.$ const) (and this means a reduction to plane strain) or to the case when $S_{i j}=$ const. Thus, it is necessary that $h_{2} \neq 0$. Then from the condition $a=0$ and from (30) we establish that $v_{3}=v_{3}(h)$ and $\chi=X(h)$.

Since $h_{2} \neq 0$, we can replace the variables $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ by (h, $\lambda$ ), where the function $\lambda\left(v_{1}\right.$, $v_{2}$ ) is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=-1 /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \lambda_{2}=h /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3I}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the new variables, Eqs. (22) and (29) take the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
h\left(1+h^{2}\right) \partial S_{1} / \partial h+S_{1}\left(h^{2}-1\right)=0  \tag{32}\\
\left(1+h^{2}\right) \partial Q / \partial h+h Q=-h \chi \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (32) over $h$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=h c(\lambda) /\left(1+h^{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the relations below follow from Eq. (27) and the von Mises criterion

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{12}=\left(1-h^{2}\right) c(\lambda) /\left(2\left(1+h^{2}\right)\right), S_{13}=c_{1}(\lambda) /\left(1+h^{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(\lambda)$ is an arbitrary function and $c_{1}= \pm\left(k^{2}-c^{2 / 4}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
After we insert the resulting expressions for the components of the deviator of the stress tensor ( $S_{i j}$ ) into (10), we have $\sigma=\sigma(h)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime} h_{2}=-h_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} c_{1}^{\prime}-c h_{2} /\left(1+h^{2}\right)-c^{\prime} /\left(2\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (33) is also integrated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left(g(h)+B\left(\lambda, x_{3}\right)\right) /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $B\left(\lambda, x_{3}\right)$ is an arbitrary function; the function $g=g(h)$ is such that $g^{\prime}=-h X^{i} /(1+$ $\left.h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Here, $P_{1} Q_{2}-P_{2} Q_{1}=h_{2}\left(Q+X^{\prime}\right) \partial B / \partial \lambda \neq 0$, while since $Q_{3} \neq 0$, then $B+g+X^{\prime}(1+$ $\left.h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \neq 0$. As a result, (23) is changed to the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial B / \partial \lambda\left(f(\lambda, h) /\left(B+g+\chi^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)+c \partial B / \partial x_{3}+2 c_{1}=0 \\
\left(j \equiv c v_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)-2 c_{1}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / h_{2}\right) . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

After we differentiate (38) with respect to $h$ and we use the condition $\partial B / \partial \lambda \neq 0$, we find $(\partial / \partial h)\left(f /\left(B+g+\chi^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)=0$. Since $\partial B / \partial x_{3} \neq 0$, then $\partial f / \partial h=0$ and $f(\partial / \partial h)$ $\left(g+x^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)=0$.

The condition $f=0$ leads to contradictory relations. Thus, we obtain the following from the last equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=c_{3} h+c_{4}, g=-c_{3}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(c_{3}, c_{4}=\mathrm{const}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arbitrary constants $c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ are immaterial. For example, $c_{3}=c_{4}=0$.
To find $\partial h_{2} / \partial h$ in $\partial f / \partial h$, we use $\frac{\partial}{\partial h}=\frac{1}{h_{2}\left(1+h^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}}+h \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial f / \partial h=2 c_{1} h_{22}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / h_{2}^{3}+c\left(v_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)+2 h v_{3}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will examine three cases: $c_{1}=0 ; c_{1} \neq 0, c^{\prime}=0 ; c_{1} \neq 0, c^{\prime} \neq 0$.
A. It is assumed that $c_{i}=0$. Then we find from (36) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=-c /\left(1+h^{2}\right) ; \quad v_{3}^{\prime}=b /\left(1+h^{2}\right), \quad c_{1}= \pm 2 k(b=\mathrm{const}) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{cb}$, and it is easy to write the general solution of (38)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda B=b x_{3}+\Phi(B) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the arbitrary function $\Phi(B)$. Thus, the general solution of the nonreducible double wave in the given case has two arbitrary functions with the same argument: one with the definition $h=h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, the other with $\Phi=\Phi(B)$. Let us analyze this solution in the initial space of the independent variables ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ ).

The relation $h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=x_{1} / x_{2}$ follows from (16), (20), and (39). The use of (15), (37), (39), and (42) yields $\lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\beta\left(b x_{3}+\Phi(R)\right) / R$, where $\beta=\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{2}\right), R=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. The expressions $h=h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \lambda=\lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ are used to implicitly find the components of velocity $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ as functions of the independent variables ( $\left.x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.

Having differentiated $h=h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\lambda=\lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ with respect to $x_{3}$ and having made use of (30) and (3I), we obtain h $\partial v_{1} / \partial x_{3}+\partial v_{2} / \partial x_{3}=0,-\partial v_{1} / \partial x_{3}+h \partial v_{2} / \partial x_{3}=b / x_{2}$. It follows from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=-b x_{2} x_{3} / R^{2}+g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), v_{2}=b x_{1} x_{3} / R^{2}+g_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we find the function $g_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)(i=1,2)$, we need to write the expressions for the stresses $s_{i j}, \sigma$, and $v_{3}$. To do this, by analogy with plane strain we introduce an angle $\theta$ such that $h=(\cos 2 \theta-\gamma) / \sin 2 \theta(\gamma= \pm 1)$.

We obtain the following from (34), (35), and (41)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{13}=S_{23}=0, S_{1}=-S_{2}=-k \sin 2 \theta, S_{12}=k \cos 2 \theta, v_{3}=b \theta+c_{s} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (43)-(44) into (8)-(9) shows that (9) is satisfied identically, while (8) leads to

$$
\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}+2 \operatorname{ctg} 2 \theta \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial x_{i}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=0
$$

The general solution of the last equations will be [3, 4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}=\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+h\left(1+h^{2}\right) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\right) /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& g_{2}=\left(\left(1+h^{2}\right) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}-h\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)\right) /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

while the arbitrary functions $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}(h), \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{2}(R)$.
(40) over $\mathrm{v}_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / h_{2}=\left(c / 2 c_{1}\right)\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\int\left(h v_{3}^{\prime} /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) d h+\mu\left(v_{1}\right)\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the arbitrary function $\mu=\mu\left(v_{1}\right)$. The form of this function is obtained from study of the compatibility condition of the system of two differential equations for the function $h=$ $h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ : the first equation of (30) and (45). This system turns out to be compatible only if $\mu=-2 c_{1} v_{1} / c+c_{5}\left(c_{5}\right.$ is an arbitrary constant). Since the determination of the function $\lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is accurate to within the arbitrary constant, then $f=-2 c_{1} \lambda$. Here, the general solution of Eq. (38) $\lambda=B \Phi\left(B+2 c_{1} x_{3} / c\right)$ with an arbitrary function $\Phi=\Phi(\zeta)$ having the argument $\zeta=B+2 c_{1} x_{3} / c$.

Thus, a nonreducible double wave is also associated with general randomess in case $B$ - there are two functions with one argument: $v_{3}=v_{3}(h)$ and $\Phi=\Phi(\zeta)$. The solution is constructed as follows in the initial space of independent variables ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$, $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ ): we first assign arbitrary functions $v_{3}(h)$ and $\Phi(\zeta)$; we then find the function $h=h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ from the equation

$$
\int\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\int\left(h v_{3}^{\prime} /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) d h+\mu\left(v_{1}\right)\right) d h-\left(2 c_{1} / c\right)\left(h v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=\text { const }
$$

We then determine the function $\lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=-\left(c / 2 c_{1}\right) v_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)+\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / h_{2}$. Finally, we reconstruct the components of velocity $v_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), v_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ from the equations ( $\alpha=\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{2}\right)$ )

$$
h\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=x_{1} / x_{2}, \lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \Phi\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+2 c_{1} x_{3} / c\right)
$$

The stress state is represented by the relations ( $c_{2}=$ const)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=-S_{2}=h c /\left(1+h^{2}\right), \quad S_{12}=\left(1-h^{2}\right) c /\left(2\left(1+h^{2}\right)\right) \\
& S_{13}=c_{1} /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad S_{23}=-h S_{13}, \sigma=-c \operatorname{artg}(h)+c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

C. Let $c_{1} \neq 0, c^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then, having differentiated (36) with respect to $\partial / \partial h$ and having inserted it into the expression for $h_{22}$ from (40), we obtain $\sigma^{\prime \prime}+2 h \sigma^{\prime} /\left(1+h^{2}\right)=0$. From this, $\sigma^{\prime}=b /\left(1+h^{2}\right)(b=c o n s t)$. After we substitute $\sigma^{\prime}$ into (36) and differentiate it with respect to $\partial / \partial \lambda$ (considering that $\partial / \partial \lambda=\left(h \partial / \partial v_{2}-\partial / \partial v_{1}\right) /\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $h_{1}=h h_{2}$ ), we have $c^{\prime \prime} / h_{2}+3 c^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}+v_{3} c_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0$. Alternatively, we exclude $h_{2}$ from the last equation by means of (36), then we can write $c^{\prime \prime}(b+c)-(3 / 2)\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{2}+k^{2}\left(c^{\prime} /\right.$ $\left.c_{I}^{\prime}\right)^{3}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)\right)=0$. Since $c(\lambda)$ and $c_{1}(\lambda)$, then $v_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+h^{2}\right)=b_{1}=$ const. We then find
from (40) that $h_{22}=0$. This leads us to the relations $h=\left(c_{4}-v_{2}\right) /\left(v_{1}+c_{3}\right)$ and $\lambda=$ $-\left(v_{1}+c_{3}\right)\left(1+h^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, f=c b_{1}-2 c_{1} \lambda$. Thus, it remains for us to satisfy Eqs. (36) and (38). Having inserted $h$ and $\lambda$ into (36), we obtain an ordinary differential equation to determine $c(\lambda)\left(c_{1}=\alpha\left(k^{2}-c^{2} / 4\right)^{1 / 2}, \alpha= \pm 1\right)$ :

$$
c^{\prime}\left(\lambda-\alpha b_{1} c /\left(4 k^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)+2(b+c)=0 .
$$

After we find $c=c(\lambda)$ in quadratures we have the general solution of (38)

$$
\Phi\left(\left(x_{3}-B \exp \left(\int 2 c_{1} / f d \lambda\right) \int\left((c / f) \exp \left(-\int 2 c_{1} / f d \lambda\right)\right) d \lambda\right), B \exp \left(\int 2 c_{1} / f d \lambda\right)\right)=0
$$

with the arbitrary function $\Phi(\xi, \zeta)$.
2. Let us describe the second case, when $v_{i}=v_{i}\left(v_{1}\right)(i=2,3)$ at $S_{1} \neq 0$. After we substitute $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)(\mathrm{i}=2,3)$ into (5), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
-S_{2} u_{1}+S_{1} w_{2}=0, \quad-2 S_{12} w_{1}+S_{1}\left(w_{2}+v_{2}^{\prime} w_{1}\right)=0, \\
\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right) w_{1}+S_{1} v_{3}^{\prime} w_{3}=0, \quad-2 S_{13} w_{1}+S_{1}\left(w_{3}+v_{3}^{\prime} w_{1}\right)=0, \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

where for the sake of brevity we introduced the notation $w_{i}=\partial v_{1} / \partial x_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. Since system (46) is linear and homogeneous relative to $w_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and $\sum_{i} w_{i}^{2} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 S_{12} v_{2}^{\prime}+S_{2}=0, \quad S_{1}\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 S_{13} v_{3}^{\prime}-S_{1}-S_{2}=0, \\
S_{13} v_{2}^{\prime}+S_{12} v_{3}^{\prime}-S_{1} v_{2}^{\prime} v_{3}^{\prime}-S_{23}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the solution reduces to plane strain for $\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=0$, it must be assumed that $\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \neq$ 0 . Then we use the last equations to find expressions for $S_{12}, S_{2}$, and $S_{23}$. Inserting them into the von Mises yield condition, we obtain a quadratic equation for $S_{13}$. It follows from this equation that $\left|S_{1}(h+1) h^{-1 / 2} /(2 k)\right| \leq 1\left(h \equiv\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$. We introduce an angle $\theta$ such that $\sin \theta=S_{1}(h+1) h^{-1 / 2 / 2}(2 k)$.

If $S_{1}=S_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ (or $\theta=\theta\left(v_{1}\right)$ ), then $\sigma=\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)$ and the solution is reduced to a simple wave. Thus, we choose $S_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ as parameters of the double wave. Having inserted $\sigma=$ $\sigma\left(S_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ into (1) with allowance for the first two independent equations of (46), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i \alpha} \partial S_{1} / \partial x_{x_{\alpha}}+b_{i \pm} w_{1}=0 \quad(i=1,2,3) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form of the coefficients $b_{i j}(i=1,2,3, j=1,2,3,4)$ is quite complex and is not presented here. We performed all subsequent calculations on a computer as well, and here we present only the reasoning and the final results.

In order for the double wave to not reduce to an invariant solution in (47), there should be no more than two independent equations. This means that the rank of the matrix $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ must not be greater than two. If we use $B_{j}$ to represent a square matrix composed of the matrix $B$ without the $j$-th column, then $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{j}\right)=0(j=1,2,3,4)$. Equating det $\left(B_{4}\right)$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\partial \sigma / \partial S_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\left(\partial \sigma / \partial S_{1}\right)^{2}-(h+1)^{2} /\left(4 h \cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right)=0 .
$$

It follows from this that either $\sigma=\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)$ or $\sigma= \pm S_{1}(h+1) h^{-1 / 2} /(2 \cos \theta)+\varphi\left(v_{1}\right)$. Having inserted the expressions for $\sigma$ into $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right)=0$, in both cases we obtain a polynomial in $\tan \theta$ with coefficients dependent on $v_{1}$. Since $\theta$ and $v_{1}$ are assumed to be functionally independent, then these coefficients should vanish. However, there are contradictory equalities among the relations. For example, $h+1=0$. Thus, in the given case, when $v_{i}=v_{i}\left(v_{1}\right)$ ( $\mathrm{i}=2,3$ ), there are no double waves that cannot be reduced to invariant solutions or simple waves.
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THERMOELASTIC STRESSES IN A PLANE WITH A CIRCULAR INCLUSION IN THE PRESENCE OF A THERMAL SPOT OF ELLIPTICAL SHAPE
L. G. Smirnov and I. I. Fedik

UDC 539.412

The problem of determining the thermostress state of a body during heating by a spot occupying a certain domain reduces to a problem of determining the elastic stresses for given discontinuities in the displacements on the spot boundary [1]. This latter is equivalent to the problem of determining the elastic stresses caused by the presence of an inclusion preliminarily subjected to intrinsic strain and having elastic characteristics as also the surrounding medium and then inserted in the hole occupied by the spot domain [2]. Utilization of the Muskhelishvili method in the plane case permits reducing this problem to a standard boundary value problem of elasticity theory for the whole domain occupied by the body with altered external forces [2]. When the spot is circular in shape, the solution can be found in closed form [3-5]. The solution of the problem of determining the stresses in a half-plane for an elliptical spot shape and constant magnitude of the heating $\Delta T$ is also written in closed form [6]. This paper is devoted to obtaining such a solution for a plane with a circular foreign inclusion for an elliptical spot shape and $\Delta T=$ const.

Let an elastic plane with a circular foreign inclusion be heated over a certain domain $D$ bounded by the contour $L$ from an initial temperature $T_{0}$ for which there is no stress state to a temperature $T_{1}$. It is assumed that the contour $L$ does not intersect the circle $L_{0}$ bounding the foreign inclusion and can be a system of nonintersecting closed contours $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ( $\mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ ). Without limiting the generality, we will consider the contour L to consist of two contours $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ bounding domains $D_{1}{ }^{+}$and $D_{2}{ }^{+}$lying entirely within and outside the circle $L_{0}$, respectively. The domain lying between the contours $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ is denoted by $D_{1}{ }^{-}$and the domain between $L_{0}$ and $L_{2}$ by $D_{2}{ }^{-}$. It is known [2] that the stress state that occurs is equivalent to that which occurs in inclusions occupying the domain $\mathrm{D}_{j}{ }^{+}$first subjected to intrinsic strain and from the same material as its external medium, and then installed in holes with the contours $L_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$.

Let us assume the center of the circular foreign inclusion of radius $R_{0}$ to be at the origin of the $x, y$ plane, and $\mu_{j}, \nu_{j}, \alpha_{j}$ to be the shear modulus, Poisson ratio, and coefficient of thermal expansion of the materials of the foreign inclusion ( $j=1$ ) and its external medium ( $\mathrm{j}=2$ ). We use the Muskhelishvili method to find the stress state. Considering that an ideal contact holds on the common boundary of the inclusion with the medium, the conditions of equality of the normal and tangential stresses as well as the presence of a displacement jump on the interfacial lines of the media caused by the intrinsic strains are written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{0}^{-}(t)+\overline{t \varphi_{0}^{-1}(t)}+\overline{\psi_{0}^{-}(t)}=\varphi^{-}(t)+\overline{t \overline{\varphi^{-}}(t)}+\overline{\psi^{-( }(t)}+C_{1},  \tag{1}\\
& \left(\varkappa_{1} \varphi_{0}^{-}(t)-\overline{t \overline{\varphi_{0}^{-1}}(t)}-\overline{\psi_{0}^{-}(t)}\right) / \mu_{1}=\left(\varkappa_{2} \varphi^{-}(t)-t \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}-\overline{\left.\psi^{-}(t)\right)} / \mu_{2} \quad\left(t \in L_{0}\right) ;\right. \\
& \varphi_{0}^{+}(t)+\overline{t \varphi_{0}^{+\prime}(t)}+\overline{\psi_{0}^{+}(t)}=\varphi_{0}^{-}(t)+t \overline{\varphi_{0}^{-\prime}(t)}+\overline{\psi_{0}^{-}(t)}+C_{2},  \tag{2}\\
& x_{1} \varphi_{0}^{+}(t)-\overline{t \varphi_{0}^{+^{\prime}}(t)}-\overline{\psi_{0}^{+}(t)}=x_{2} \varphi_{0}^{-}(t)-t \overline{\varphi_{0}^{-{ }^{\prime}}(t)}-\overline{\psi_{0}^{-}(t)}+2 \mu_{1} g_{1}(t) \quad\left(t \in L_{1}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$
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